

Transformations in public health

Resources for articles in the Spring 2005 issue.

Arizona's Academy Without Walls

Gebbie, K. (2000) Enumeration of the Public Health Workforce in the United States, 2000, Center for Health Policy, Columbia University School of Nursing

Rhodes, J.E., Jason, L.A. (1987). The retrospective pretest: an alternative approach in evaluating drug prevention programs. *Journal of Drug Education*, 17, 345-354.

Riley, CM, Johnson, LP, Campbell, CC, Gomez, A, Arizona Public Health Workforce: A Descriptive Analysis, 2001, unpublished

Umble, D. Upshaw, V. Orton, S., Mathews, K. (2000) Using the post-then method to assess learner change. Presentation at the AAHE Assessment Conference.

Mezoff, B. (1981) Pre-then-post testing: A tool to improve the accuracy of management training program evaluation. *NSPI Journal*, 10-11

Challenges of Evaluating Systems Change Efforts

Cheadle A, Beery WL, Greenwald HP, Nelson GD, Pearson D, Senter S. Evaluating The California Wellness Foundation's Health Improvement Initiative: A logic model approach. *Health Promotion Practice* 2003; 4(2): 146-156.

COMMIT Research Group. Community Intervention Trial for Smoking Cessation (COMMIT): I. Cohort results from a four-year community intervention. *Am J Pub Health* 1995; 85(2): 183-192.

Farquar JW, Fortman SP, Flora JA et al Effects of community-wide education on cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Stanford five-city project. *JAMA* 1990; 264 359-365.

Green LW. PATCH: CDC's Planned Approach to Community Health: an application of PRECEED and an inspiration for PROCEED. *J of Health Educ* 1992; 23(3): 140-147.

Julian D. The utilization of the logic model as a system level planning and evaluation device. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 1997; 20:251-7.

Koepsell TD, Martin DM, Diehr PK, Psaty BM, Wagner EH, Perrin EB, Cheadle A. Data analysis and sample size issues in evaluation of community-based health promotion and disease prevention programs: a mixed-model analysis of variance approach. *J Clin Epidemiology*, 1991; 44(7):701-713.

Koepsell TD, Wagner EH, Cheadle AC, Patrick DC, Kristal AR, Allan-Andrilla CH, Dey LJ, Martin DC, Diehr PH, Perrin EB. Selected methodological issues in evaluating community-based health promotion and disease prevention programs. *Ann Rev Public Health*, 1992; 13:31-57.

Merzel C, D'Afflitti JD. Reconsidering community-based health promotion: Promise, performance, and potential. *Am J Public Health* 2003; 93(4):557-574.

Partnership for the Public's Health. <http://www.partnershipph.org/>. Last visited: 2/3/05.

Tarlow AR, Kehrer BH, Hall DP, Sammuels SE Brown GS, Felix MR, Ross JA. Foundation work: the health promotion program on the Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation. *Am J Health Promot* 1987; 2: 74-80.

Yin RK. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Applied Social Research Methods Series. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 1994.

Reflections on Evaluating the Partnership for the Public's Health

Berkowitz, B., Nicola, R.M., Lafronza, V. & Bekemeier, B. (2005). Turning Point's Legacy. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice* 11(2), 97-100.

Butterfoss, F.D. & Kegler, M.C. (2002). Toward a comprehensive understanding of community coalitions: Moving from practice to theory. In DiClemente, R.J., Crosby, R.A. and Kegler, M.C. (eds.), *Emerging Theories in Health Promotion Practice and Research*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA, pp. 157-193.

Granner, M. L., & Sharpe, P. A. (2004). Evaluating community coalition characteristics and functioning: a summary of measurement tools. *Health Education Research*, 19(5), 514-532.

Kegler, M. C., Steckler, A., Malek, S. H., & Mcleroy, K. (1998). A multiple case study of implementation in 10 Local Project Assist coalitions in North Carolina. *Health Education Research*, 13(2), 225-238.

Rogers T, Howard-Pitney B, Feighery E, Altman DG, Endres J, Roeseler A. (1993). Characteristics and participant perceptions of tobacco control coalitions in California. *Health Education Research*, 8, 345-357.